# **National Organic Coalition**

March 16, 2007

Testimony of

Steven Etka Legislative Coordinator, National Organic Coalition

submitted to the

House Subcommittee on Agriculture, Rural Development, Food and Drug Administration, and Related Agencies

regarding

Fiscal Year 2008 Appropriations Requests

March 16, 2007

Subcommittee on Agriculture, Rural Development,
Food and Drug Administration, and Related Agencies
Committee on Appropriations
2362A U.S. House of Representatives
Rayburn House Office Building
Washington D.C. 20515-6016

Attn: Jamie Swafford

I, Steven Etka, I have not received any federal grants or contracts in Fiscal Years 2005, 2006 or 2007.

However, one member organization of the National Organic Coalition received a small multi-year grant which concluded in November of 2005. Specifically, the Northeast Organic Farming Association-Interstate Council received a grant of \$33,014 from the Sustainable Agriculture Research and Extension (SARE) Program at USDA/CSREES for the creation of manuals regarding organic agriculture.

Thank you, Sever V. SHar

Steven D. Etka

Legislative Coordinator National Organic Coalition Chairwoman DeLauro, Ranking Member Kingston, and Members of the Subcommittee:

My name is Steven Etka. I am submitting this testimony on behalf of the National Organic Coalition (NOC) to detail our requests for fiscal year 2008 funding for several USDA marketing, research, and conservation programs of importance to organic agriculture.

The National Organic Coalition (NOC) is a national alliance of organizations working to provide a voice for farmers, ranchers, environmentalists, consumers, cooperative retailers and others involved in organic agriculture. The current members of NOC are the Center for Food Safety, Rural Advancement Foundation International -USA, National Cooperative Grocers Association, the Midwest Organic and Sustainable Education Service, the Northeast Organic Farming Association -Interstate Council, Beyond Pesticides, the Northeast Organic Dairy Producers Alliance, Food and Water Watch, and the Union of Concerned Scientists.

We urge the Subcommittee's strong consideration of the following funding requests for various USDA programs of importance to organic farmers, marketers and consumers:

# **USDA/ Agricultural Marketing Service (AMS)**

# National Organic Certification Cost-Share Program Request: \$1 million

In recognition of the costs to farmers and handlers associated with the process of organic certification, the National Organic Certification Cost Share program was authorized by Section 10606 of the Food Security and Rural Investment Act of 2002. In Fiscal Year 2002 initial funding of \$5 million was provided for this program through the Commodity Credit Corporation (CCC) to AMS. The assistance provided by this program has been particularly critical to small-to-medium scale farmers and handlers struggling with the costs of mandatory organic certification and required annual updates. Unfortunately, the initial CCC funding for this program has been fully expended. Therefore, we are seeking stop-gap funding of \$1 million to keep the program running until the program can be reauthorized in the 2007 Farm Bill.

## Organic Standards- Request: \$4 million

In Fiscal Year 2006, Congress specified funding of \$2.026 million for the AMS category of Organic Standards. The Fiscal Year 2007 Continuing Resolution laid the groundwork for level funding for the National Organic Program in Fiscal Year 2007. In the President's Fiscal Year 2008 budget submittal, a request was made for \$3.13 million for the National Organic Program.

With the rapid expansion of the organic market in the United States and abroad, the tasks facing the National Organic Program are numerous, yet the resources of the agency are few. Rulemaking efforts important to organic farmers, consumers, processors and retailers market are languishing. For example, USDA has been promising for over a year to move forward on the proposal of a new, updated pasture standard to govern organic livestock, yet no formal action has taken place.

In addition, for several years, report language has been included in the Senate report strongly urging the National Organic Program to take action on several unfulfilled statutory requirements. Specifically, the Senate report language in Fiscal Years 2004, 2005, 2006 and 2007 called on the NOP to establish an on-going Peer Review Panel, as called for in OFPA, to provide oversight and advice to the NOP regarding the accreditation process for organic certifiers.

The requirements of Section 2117 of OFPA to establish a Peer Review Panel and the further requirement of Section 205.509 of the Organic rule to establish an annual Peer Review Panel have not been met by the NOP. However, we are pleased that the NOP contracted with the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) to perform an outside audit of the NOP, the results of which were presented in late 2004. The ANSI audit noted numerous technical and procedural deficiencies in the NOP's operations and suggested corrective actions in several areas. In addition, USDA's own Inspector General's office released an audit report regarding the National Organic Program in July of 2005, which was very critical of the National Organic Program's operations, and also suggested several corrective actions that could be taken by the Agency to resolve the problems. The Members of the National Organic Coalition concur with the recommendations of the ANSI and Office of Inspector General (OIG) audits, and believe that if the NOP were to implement these recommendations, it would be a significant step to resolving many of the concerns that have been raised by the organic community regard the NOP's operations. However, we also believe that the House and Senate Agriculture Appropriations Subcommittees should be kept informed by NOP with regular reports on their progress in complying with these recommendations.

In order to provide the National Organic Program with greater resources for certifier training, National Organic Standards Board support, enforcement, and rulemaking processes, we are requesting \$4 million for AMS/National Organic Program, and we are also requesting that the following report language be included:

The Committee is aware that an audit performed by the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) in 2004 and by the USDA Office of Inspector General (OIG) in 2005 made strong recommendations about changes needed in the administration of the National Organic Program. The Committee expects the Agency to take the necessary actions to comply with these recommendations, and to provide a written report to the Committee by December of 2007 regarding the progress in implementing these recommendations. In addition, the Committee expects a report regarding the complaints that the NOP has received about violations of the organic standards, and the progress of the Agency in investigating and responding to those complaints. Finally, the Committee expects the NOP to work closely with the NOSB to implement the Peer Review Panel requirements of OPFA and USDA's organic regulations.

# USDA ORGANIC DATA INITIATIVES

Authorized by Section 7407 of the 2002 Farm Bill, the Organic Production and Marketing Data Initiative states that the "Secretary shall ensure that segregated data on the production and marketing of organic agricultural products is included in the ongoing baseline of data collection regarding agricultural production and marketing." As the organic industry matures and grows at a rapid rate, the lack of national data for the production, pricing, and marketing of organic products has been an impediment to further development of the industry and to the effective functioning of many organic programs within USDA. Because of the multiagency nature of data collection within USDA, the effort to improve organic data collection and analysis must also be undertaken by several different agencies within the Department:

Economic Research Service (ERS)
Collection and Analysis
of Organic Economic Data

In Fiscal Year 2006, Congress appropriated \$500,000 to USDA's Economic Research Service to continue

Request: \$750,000

the collection of valuable acreage and production data, as required by Section 7407 of the 2002 farm bill.

While not specified in the Fiscal Year 2007 Continuing Resolution, it is our hope and understanding that this funding will be continued with level funding for Fiscal Year 2007.

Because increased ability to conduct economic analysis for the organic farming sector is greatly needed, we request \$750,000 to be appropriated to the USDA ERS to implement the "Organic Production and Market Data Initiative" included in Section 7407 of the 2002 farm bill.

# National Agriculture Statistics Service (NASS)

Census Follow-up/Organic Grower Survey

Unlike other sectors of agriculture, the organic industry has suffered from a lack of data collection and analysis, which has limited producers' ability to respond to market trends. The USDA NASS is currently in the process of distributing the 2007 agricultural census, which includes some organic specific questions and will start to correlate other census data for the organic sector. After the census data is collected and analyzed, NASS will need to conduct a census follow-up survey in order to collect more in-depth information related to organic production and marketing.

# **Agricultural Marketing Service (AMS)**

Organic Price Collection

Request: language supporting continued funding from RMA to AMS for organic price collection

**Request: \$1 million** 

**Request:** 

\$5 million

Accurate, public reporting of agricultural price ranges and trends helps to level the playing field for producers. Wholesale and retail price information on a regional basis is critical to farmers and ranchers, but organic producers have fewer sources of price information available to them than conventional producers. Additionally, the lack of appropriate actuarial data has made it difficult for organic farmers to apply for and receive equitable federal crop insurance. AMS Market News is involved in tracking product prices for conventional agricultural products. In recent months, the Risk Management Agency (RMA) has provided some funding to the AMS, through a Memorandum of Understanding, to begin the collection of organic price data for a few selected commodities. We request that the Committee express its support for the continuation of this MOU between RMA and AMS.

## USDA/ CSREES

**Organic Transitions Program** 

The Organic Transition Program, funded through the CSREES budget, is a research grant program that helps farmers surmount some of the challenges of organic production and marketing. As the organic industry grows, the demand for research on topics related to organic agriculture is experiencing significant growth as well. The benefits of this research are far-reaching, with broad applications to all sectors of U.S. agriculture, even beyond the organic sector. Yet funding for organic research is minuscule in relation to the relative economic importance of organic agriculture and marketing in this nation.

The CSRES Organic Transition Program was funded at \$2.1 million in Fiscal Year 2003, \$1.9 million in Fiscal Year 2004, and \$1.88 million for both Fiscal Years 2005 and 2006, and presumably for Fiscal Year 2007 as well, depending on USDA's interpretation of the Fiscal Year 2007 Continuing Resolution. Given the

rapid increase in demand for organic foods and other products, and the growing importance of organic agriculture, the research needs of the organic community are expanding commensurately. Therefore, we are requesting that the program be funded at \$5 million in Fiscal Year 2008, consistent with the funding providing in the House's initial Fiscal Year 2007 Agriculture Appropriations bill. In addition, we are requesting that the Organic Transition Program remain a separate program, and not be subsumed within the National Research Initiative, as proposed in the President's budget.

#### **USDA/CSREES**

National Research Initiative (NRI)

Request: Language directing CSREES to add a new NRI program area to foster classical plant and animal breeding

In recent decades, public resources for classical plant and animal breeding have dwindled, while resources have shifted toward genomics and biotechnology, with a focus on a limited set of major crops and breeds. Unfortunately, this shift has significantly curtailed the public access to plant and animal germplasm, and limited the diversity of seed variety and animal breed development. This problem has been particularly acute for organic and sustainable farmers, who seek access to germplasm well suited to their unique cropping systems and their local environment. Without renewed funding in this arena, the public capacity for plant and animal breeding will disappear.

In fiscal years 2005, 2006, and 2007, the Senate Agriculture Appropriations Subcommittee included report language raising concerns about this problem, and urging CSREES to give greater consideration to research needs related to classical plant and animal breeding, when setting priorities within the National Research Initiative. Despite this report language, research proposals for classical plant and animal breeding that have sought NRI funding in the past couple of years have been consistently declined. Further, the shift in NRI toward work on genomics and biotechnology continues, to the exclusion of classical plant and animal breeding.

As the nation's preeminent agricultural competitive grants program, the National Research Initiative should be funding classical plant and animal breeding activities. The NRI currently has over 30 program areas of focus. We are requesting that an additional program area be created within the NRI to foster this important research, and that this new program area be entitled, "Classical Plant and Animal Breeding to Foster More Diverse, Energy Efficient and Environmentally Sustainable Agricultural Systems."

#### **USDA/CSREES**

**Sustainable Agriculture Research and Education (SARE)** 

The SARE program has been very successful in funding on-farm research on environmentally sound and profitable practices and systems, including organic production. The reliable information developed and distributed through SARE grants have been invaluable to organic farmers. We are requesting \$15 million for Chapter 1 and \$5 million for Chapter 3 for Fiscal Year 2007.

**Request: \$15 million (Chapter 1)** 

and \$5 million (Chapter 3)

**USDA/Rural Business Cooperative Service** 

Appropriate Technology Transfer for Rural Areas (ATTRA)

Request: \$3 million

ATTRA is a national sustainable agriculture information service, which provides practical information and technical assistance to farmers, ranchers, Extension agents, educators and others interested in sustainable agriculture. ATTRA interacts with the public, not only through its call-in service and website, but also provides numerous publications written to help address some of the most frequently asked questions of farmers and educators. Much of the real-world assistance provided by ATTRA is extremely helpful to the organic community. As a result, the growth in demand for ATTRA services has increased significantly, both through the website-based information services and through the growing requests for workshops. We are requesting \$3 million for ATTRA for Fiscal Year 2008.

## USDA/ARS

Organic Agricultural Systems Research
Request: \$10 million, divided between regions

This funding would be allocated to the ARS Area Directors to help meet the priority needs identified by the ARS National Organic Workshop held in January of 2005 in Austin, Texas. This funding would provide needed flexibility to address the broad needs and opportunities of the growing organic production and processing sector.

## **USDA/NRCS**

Conservation Security Program

USDA/ Rural Business Cooperative Service

Value-Added Producer Grants

Request: No Funding Limitation

Request: No Funding Limitation

The Conservation Security Program (authorized by Section 2001 of the 2002 farm bill) and the Value-Added Producer Grant (authorized by Section 6401 of the 2002 farm bill) have great potential to benefit organic producers in their efforts to conserve natural resources and to explore new, value-added enterprises as part of their operations. Unfortunately, while these programs were authorized to operate with mandatory funding, their usefulness has been limited by funding restrictions imposed through the annual appropriations process. We are urging that the Conservation Security Program and the Value-Added Producer Grant Program be permitted to operate with unrestricted mandatory funding, as authorized.

Thank you for this opportunity to testify and for your consideration on these critical funding requests.